

# DCP COMPLIANCE TABLE

INTENSIVE PLANT
AGRICULTURE
(HORTICULTURE) AND
ANCILLARY GREENHOUSE
AND CLEAN ROOM

336 BLACK CAMP ROAD, GLEN MARTIN NSW, 2321

(Lot: 200/DP: 1256029)

Peta Harris	<b>Phone</b> : 0418 108 229
Senior Environmental Planner	Email: peta@perceptionplanning.com.au
PO BOX 107	
CLARENCE TOWN, NSW, 2321	
PP Reference	J001953
Prepared for	Golden Sapling

#### **Document Versions and Control**

DCP Compliance Assessment, 306 Black Camp Creek Road, Glen Martin NSW 2321

No:	Date:	PP Ref:	Author:	Reviewed by:
Version 1	25/06/21	SEE – 306 Black Camp Creek Road Glen Martin	PH	Client

#### Disclaimer:

This document may only be used for the purpose for which it was comissioned and in accordance with the contract between Perception Planning and the client. The scope of services by defined in consultation with the client by time and budgetary constraints imposed by the client, and the availability of reports and other data of the site. Changes to information, legislation and schedule are made on an ongoing basies and readers should obtain up to date information.

Perception Planning accepts no liability or responsibility whatsover for or in respect of any use of or reliance upon this report and its supporting material by any third party. Information provided is not identified to be suitable for a site specific assessment or legal advice in relation to any matter. Unauthorised use of this report in any form is prohibited.

Section	Requirement	Proposed	Complies
Part A Administration			
A.4 Notification and advertising of applications	The following types of Development Applications are required to be notified by mail: <ul> <li>Applications for subdivisions</li> <li>Boundary adjustments</li> <li>Tourist Developments</li> <li>New Commercial Developments</li> <li>Industrial</li> <li>Development within a nominated Heritage Conservation Area or Listed Heritage Item.</li> <li>Medium Density Development</li> <li>Dual Occupancy</li> <li>Farm Gate Sales</li> <li>Applications that do not meet Council's setback requirements</li> <li>Applications that are considered to be of public interest</li> </ul>	The proposed development is considered in the public interest, accordingly, the proposed development is likely to be notified in accordance with Sections 4.4 and 4.5.	Yes

#### Part C

## C2. Development in Rural Residential Zones

The site is not classified as a rural residential zone, thus the controls of this Chapter do not apply to the proposed development

## C3. Building Line Setbacks

2.3.1 Land zoned RU1 Primary Production and E3 Environmental Management	The minimum setback from the front property boundary shall be:  140m from a main road; and  50m from any other public road	The proposed greenhouses are located approx. 100m from Goldmine Road. The proposed shed extension in which the clean room will be installed is located 132m from the nearest road boundary. (Goldmine Road is not identified as a main road by this DCP)  The location proposed aims to minimise vegetation and earthworks responding to the change in levels across the site.  The proposed greenhouse location also seeks to cluster the greenhouse structures with the existing and proposed agricultural sheds, allowing for sustainable and efficient use of the resources and infrastructure installed for services, septic management and stormwater management.	Yes
2.4.1 Side and rear boundaries for land zoned RU1 Primary Production	On land zoned RU1, the minimum setback from side and rear boundaries shall be: 50m for lots with an area of more than 60 ha; or 40m for lots with an area of more than 30 ha but less than 60 ha; or	The location of the proposed development does not exceed these controls.	Yes

and E3 Environmental Management	30m for lost with an area of less than 30 ha		
2.5 Variation to building lines	Where building lines cannot be achieved on existing lots created prior to the adoption of this DCP an applicant may apply for a Policy variation.  Variations to building line setbacks will only be considered under the following circumstances:  a) Where it is physically not possible to meet the setback requirements due to topography, physical constraint or the actual dimensions of the allotment; and/or  b) In the RU1 and E3 zones, where the width of an allotment is less than 200 metres and it is unreasonable to apply the standard building line setbacks, the minimum setback to a side or rear boundary is to be not less than 25% of the width of the allotment  c) Where an existing lawful building is already located in a position that is less than the minimum setback required, alterations or additions to that building may adopt the existing building line setbacks.  d) Front setbacks to road boundaries may be reduced only where physical constraints exist, or	The development proposal seeks a variation to the front boundary offset (Goldmine Road). The site has been carefully considered against the environmental and topographical constraints.	Yes
	,		

	Council that there are compelling justification otherwise.		
C4 – Erection of	farm buildings and outbuilding/sheds		
The proposed str the development.		nish, the controls for farm buildings and outbuildings does n	ot apply to
C5 - Bushfire			
Bushfire	Section 4.14 of the EP&A Act prevents Council from granting approval for any development in a bushfire prone area unless the proposal complies with Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006 or the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service (RFS) has been consulted on any noncompliance.	The site is identified as bushfire prone land. — vegetation buffer. The requirements of Planning for Bushfire Protection 2019 do not apply to the proposed development.  It is considered that the proposed development can safely minimise risk to life as a result of a fire.	Yes
C16 – Biodivers	ity		
• •	velopment does not require vegetation removal. The a se Biodiversity Values Map and Threshold Tool.	area of impact is not identified as being mapped with biodive	ersity
C23 – On-site se	ewage management		
23.3.1 Unsewered Allotments to be Provided with an Onsite Sewage	Development consent will not be granted by Council unless adequate arrangements have been made for the disposal and management of sewage.	The proposed development does not require the provision on-site sewage management.	Yes

Management System				
23.3.2 The development assessment framework	The DAF sets out the required levels of investigation, acceptable solutions (deemed to satisfy) and minimum standards for sewage management in unsewered areas	The proposed development does not require the provision on-site sewage management.	Yes	
C24 – Site waste	C24 – Site waste minimisation and management			
24.10 Site waste minimisation and management plans	A SWMMP must be submitted for all types of development including demolition, construction and ongoing use of the site/premises	A SWMMP is contained in <b>APPENDIX 10.</b>	Yes	